Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—They should not have printed till the answer was ready. I would have taken my chance to put my question at least before the close of the session.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Government will try their best to give this information because you have still a large number of days before you. I think this question may be taken up some time later.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—A similar reply was given to my question also. It is nearly thirty days since the questions are in the hands of the Government. I would like to know if the Ministers concerned will supply the information before the close of the session or whether they are going to furnish the answers after the session or during the next session. Under the rule the answers shall be "...prepared in such a manner as the Speaker may determine". It is the Speaker that determines the mode of questions and answers. It is for the Speaker to satisfy himself if the answers are satisfactory or not. I realise that the Government may have some difficulty in furnishing answers to some of these questions. But what we are anxious to know is whether you are going to treat these questions, where answers are not supplied, as deferred questions or lapsed questions? If you treat them as deferred questions, I want to know whether Government would furnish answers before the close of the session and give us a chance to put supplementaries.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Leader of the House).—Sir, there is no intention whatever to hide anything from the Hon'ble Members. As all of you are aware, hundreds of questions are being answered. It is only in the case of one or two questions that information is being collected, particularly because they relate to a number of years and a number of items. So far as Government are concerned, we will place the answers on the Table of the House, at the earliest possible opportunity.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is an assurance on the part of the Government that they would do their best to supply the information before the close of the session. We have still more than 10 days before us. Granting, for the sake of argument, that there will be some valid reasons for the Government being unable to supply this information before the close of the session even subsequently they will get this information and answer will be laid on the table of the House at the next session.

Janab J. MOHAMED IMAM.—But we will be losing the right of putting supplementaries.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any supplementary questions Sri Nagaraja Murthy?

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Unless the answer is supplied, I am not in a position to put any supplementaries.

Prohibition offence in Kolar District.

Q.—426. **Sri M. C. ANJANEYA REDDY** (Chintamani).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

the number of cases reported, the number of cases filed and the number of cases in which conviction was ordered yearwar ever since prohibition was introduced in Kolar District?

A.—**Sri T. CHANNIAH** (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

	1948-49	1949-50	1950-51	1951-52
Number of cases reported.	364	250	3,102	3,931
Number of cases filed.	364	196	3,102	3,931
Number of cases which ended in conviction.	326	219*	2,918	3,764

*Includes cases put up during 1948-49 but disposed of during 1949-50.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಸಿ. ಆಂಜನೇಯ ರೆಡ್ಡಿಯವರು.—ಕಳ್ಳ ಬಟ್ಟಿ ಸಾರಾಯಿ ಕೇಸುಗಳೂ ವರ್ಷ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೂ ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಚನ್ನಯ್ಯನವರು.—ನಾನು ಆ ದಿವಸ ಬೇರೊಂದು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಪೋಲೀಸ್ vigilance ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗಿ ಪತ್ತೆ ತರದೂದು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅನೇಕ ಕೇಸುಗಳು ವರದಿಯಾಗಿವೆ; ಇದೇ ಕಾರಣ.